SAMUEL HARDING.

JANUARY 10, 1832.

Mr. HUBBARD, from the Committee on Revolutionary Pensions, made the following

REPORT:

The Committee on Revolutionary Pensions, to whom was referred the petition of Samuel Harding, report:

That, from the evidence in the case, there can be no doubt that the petitioner is in very reduced circumstances.

It appears from his own statement, under oath, that he enlisted in Febru-

ary 7, 1783; and that he served until December, 1783.

This statement is fully corroborated by the deposition of Israel Green-leaf. The character for truth of the applicant, as well as that of the de-

ponent, is fully shown.

In a letter from Mr. Edwards, (at the head of the Pension Department,) he states, that it appears, from the records, that the petitioner did enlist in February, 1783; and, in the same letter, he also states that the war has been considered as having terminated in April, 1783; and that, of consequence, the petitioner could not have served nine months. It is very well known that some portion of the army were not disbanded until November, 1783; and that services were actually rendered under enlistments even for a longer period. As the evidence in this case shows that the applicant was not discharged until December, 1783, the committee think him entitled to a pension, and therefore report a bill.

SAMURI. HARDING

JANEAU TO, 1892.

Mr. Hunnann, from the Committee on Revolutionary Paneloss, made the following

THOTHA

The Committee on Nevalutionary Pensions, to whom was rejoined the

That, from the evidence in the case, there can be no doubt that the peti-

It repeats from his own statement under outh, that he callisted in Febru

are A 1785; and that he served until Direction, 1783.
This enatement is fully componented by the deposition of Israel throof.

at the appropriate the second

by a letter from Mr. Edwards, (at the head of the Pension Department.) He sates that it appears from the records; that fix petitioner did exist in February, 1783; and, in the same letter, he also sates that the working been persidered as harded it for it, and that the working decrees, the petitioner mentioned have served since enoughs. It is voly well known that some pertion of the army were not disheaded until November 1.33; and that services were actually readered until the entire even for a longer resiod. As the evidence in this case shows that the applicant was not discharged until December, 1783, the committee think but sufficient contracts a nember ability appears and therefore reports a bill.